

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,904	1	11/13/2003	David J. Baker	25090A 9434	
22889	7590	12/06/2004		EXAMINER	
OWENS COLUM				HALPERN	i, MARK
GRANVILLE, OH 43023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1731	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>Vii</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	v
	10/712,904	BAKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Halpern	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repoply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTFute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			101/4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152	")

Application/Control Number: 10/712,904

Art Unit: 1731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-18, drawn to an apparatus for glass melting, classified in class 432, subclass 195.
  - II. Claims 19-26, drawn to a method of glass melting, classified in class 65, subclass 135.9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process, for example, burning garbage.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of shown in each of Figures 2, 4, 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,904

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern <sup>∖</sup>.